CONSUMER DEPENDENCE ON SMART PHONES: THE EFFECT OF SOCIAL NEEDS, SOCIAL INFLUENCE AND CONVENIENCE IN SURABAYA

Steven International Business Networking / Faculty of Business and Economics Steven tandarto@yahoo.com

Abstract- This research aims to examine the affects of social needs, social influence and convenience to customers' dependence on smart phone. This quantitative and causal type research uses questionnaires for one-site survey. Purposive sampling method was used. The sample consisted of 200 respondents whose age is 21 years old above, have owned and used smart phone in Surabaya at least last two years. The result were analyzed through descriptive statistics using SPSS 18.0 and LISREL 8.0 The result was found that there is a positive impact of social needs toward dependence on smart phone. Furthermore, there is also positive impact of convenience toward dependence on smart phone mart phone. However, the result shows no significant impact of dependence on smart phone toward purchase behavior.

Keywords: Social needs, Social Influence, Convenience, Dependence, Purchase Behavior

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the importance of technology in our daily lives is undeniable. This is due to the fact that in today's dynamic world, life without te chnology is meaningless. Technology, which basically refers to bringing together tools that ease creation, use and exchange of information, has a major goal of making tasks easier to execute as well as solving many mankind's problems. As technology continues to advance and direct even more easiness in our lives, there is a need to stress how advantageous it has been to our lives. (Source: http://eimportanceoftechnology.com/, retrieved on November 5, 2016)

As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, both economically and socially, technology adoption remains one of the defining factors in human progress. To that end, there has be en a noticeable rise over the past two y ears in the percentage of people in the emerging and developing nations surveyed by Pew Research Center who say that they use the internet and own a smart pho ne. And

while people in a dvanced economies still use the internet more and own more high-tech gadgets, the rest of the emerging world is catching up.

According to the latest report from eMarketer, the number of smart phone users in Indonesia currently, less than 40 perc ent of the Indonesian population owns a smart phone (impl ying a still low smart phone penetration rate), while Indonesia is bus y expanding its 4G tec hnology network (a necessity for smart phone or tablet users) across the Archipelago. The number of smart phone users in Indonesia will rise from 55 million in 2015 to 92 million in 2019 with overall economic growth of Southeast Asia's largest economy in combination with rising Internet penetration as well as the young and large population according to market research company eMarketer. (Source: <u>http://www.indonesia-investments.com</u>, retrieved November 6, 2016)

Currently Indonesia has already become the third-largest smart phone market in the Asia-Pacific region (after China and India). Smartphone expansion in Indonesia is also supported by the government's plan to develop an information highway with broadband services for all 514 regency and municipal capital cities across the country by 2019 (through the Pa lapa Ring project). This project involves the development of 11,000 kilometers of underse a fibre-optic cables, divided into three sections: (1) west, (2) central and (3) east. Whereas 4G markets in advanced economies such as the US A and Japan have become saturated, Indonesia still offers a n ew and attractive market for 4G technology. After India and China, Indonesia has the highest amount of citizens who are not connected to the Internet. (Source: http://www.indonesia-investments.com, retrieved November 6, 2016)

According to International Data Corporation's (IDC) Quarterly Mobile Phone Tracker, 8.3 million smart phones were shipped in Indonesia in 2015Q4 – up 14.4% from 7.3 mill ion units for the same period last year. However, the sequential increase in 2015Q4 was much higher than the same period last year as vendors shipped in higher volumes of sm art phones before their import l icenses expired in the end of 2015 or earl y 2016. The full year shipments grew 17.1% to 29.3 million units in 2015. (Source: <u>https://www.idc.com</u>, retrieved November 6, 2016) According to the survey results APJII Internet users in Indonesia dominated in west part of Indonesia, which is on the island of Java (especially in big cities like Jakarta and Surabaya), Bali and Sumatra. Penetration reached 36.9% of the total population in J ava. In addition, approximately 83.4% of internet users in Indonesia live in urban areas. Based on population, the highest internet user in West Java province, as many as 16.4 million, followed b y East Java 12.1 million users and Central J ava 10.7 million users. 85% of internet users in Indonesia use smart phone. (www.apjii.or.id, retrieved 1 February 2017).

Suki (2013) studied the effects of social needs, social influence and convenience toward dependence on smart phone and purchase behavior on smart phone in Malaysia. The results revealed that social needs and social in fluence have positive affect toward dependence on smart phone and student's dependence on smart phone has positive affect toward purchase behavior in Malaysia. The study, however, was limited to the scope of dependence on smart phone in Malaysia. Therefore, in this particular research, the author currently tries to conduct new study regarding to the related topic with the object of being consumer dependence on smart phone in Indonesia, represented within the area of Surabaya.

The main objectives of this particular study is to analyze the significantly affect of soci al needs, social influence and convenience toward dependence on smart phone. From the theoritical side, this study will empirically contribute to the research regarding the consumer dependence on smart phone in Indonesia affected on the social needs, social influence and convenience. This research can be used as the basis to enrich the existing study related to the purchase behavior, especially in the smart phone sector or in another specific country.

From the practical perspective, this stud y can be used as the positive suggestion for smart phone manufactur er to always innovate in the overall strategy in or der to be are able to compete with the competitors in the current fierce smart phone market. Furthermore, this research is also used as the medium for the researcher to broaden the knowle dge and analytical skills regarding the practical problem in the practical context.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Social Needs

Social needs include n eeds for b elonging, love, and af fection. Maslow (1943) considered these needs to be 1 ess basic than physiological and security needs quoted in (Schiffman, *et al.*, 2010, p: 118)

According to Tikk anen (2009) an d Ting, *et al.*, 2011) need for so cial interaction with othe rs refers as social need which is fulfill through communication with friends, family and affiliates such as group member, clubs and work. In addition, Leung and Wei (2000) stated that the use of mobile phone for affection and sociability, such as chatting, gossip, keeping family contacts and having a sense of security.

Social Influence

According to Mason (2 007) Social in fluence is the ways other people affect one's beliefs, feelings, and behavior, which in large measure defines as social psychology. Social influences means one person causes in another to make a change on his/her feeling s, attitudes, thoughts and behavior, intentionally or unintentionally (Rashotte, 2007).

Commonly it is note d that friends and family members are the major influencers who affect consumer evaluation while selecting a product (Schiffman *et al.*, 2010, p: 318; A uter, 2007). According to (Nisbett and Ross, 1980) Social influence is that situations are more powerful in controlling our behavior than we normally think. Social i nfluence can be defined as broadly as "direct or indirect effects of one person on another" (Stang and Wrightsman, 1981, p. 47).

In addition, J. Kim, *et al.*, (2014) argued that social influence can be defined as the influence of choices of social network members. Social influence provides individuals with the information and the motivation to form new attitudes and adopt new behavior. Social influence is a key element in shaping attitudes and behaviors (Goldsmith and Goldsmith 2011, p. 120).

Convenience

Convenience is not only about timesaving, or labor-saving, and as Warde (1999) rightly argues, there rem ains considerable ambivalence about it among

consumers. According to Yale and Venkatesh (1986), convenience relates to savings in time and effort by consumers in the purchase of a product.

According to Brown (1990), convenience is the time and effort consumers used in purchasing a product rather than a characteristic or attribute of a product.

Dependence

According to Ahn and Jung (2016) Dependence is embeddedness of smart phones in everyday life. Dependence reflects the orientation of the analysis that involves preoccupation with other people and the need to keep them i n close (Blatt and Blass, 1996).

Purchase Behavior

Purchase behavior is conceptualized as a behavior intention for future repurchase or repeat purchase and use of sm art phone (Tin g *et al.*, 2011). According to Newberry, *et al.*, (2003) purchase behaviors reflect long term of purchasing.

According to Solomon, *et al.*, (2010) consumer bu ying behavior is a process of choosing, purchasing, using and disposing of products or services by the individuals and groups in order to satisfy their needs and wants. In addition, (Schiffman *et al.*, 2010, p: 23) stated that behavior that consumers ex press when they select and purchase the products or services using their available resources in order to satisfy their needs and desires.

Therefore, in ac cordance with the stated literature review, this study proposes hypotheses as follow:

- H1: Social needs significantly affect the student's dependence on smart phones
- H2: Social influence significantly affects the students' dependence on smart phones
- H3: Convenience significantly affects the students' dependen ce on smart phones
- H4: Students' dependence on smart phone positively affects their purchase behavior

METHODOLOGY

The type of this study is categorized as causal research. This particular explanatory research design with qua ntitative approach describes the c ausal relationship between variables shown in the research model previously, which are: social needs, social influence, convenience, dependence and pur chase behavior According to the type of data used, this study uses primary data which is obtained directly from the source, by spreading structural questionnaire to the respondents.

The population used in this research is all of the consumers who owns smart phone in Surabaya. In accordance to Suki (2013), this study used certain sample criterias which include all the citizen of Surabaya within the age of 21 years and above, who have experience and purchase smart phone in the last two years. In the sampling technique, the stud y will utilize the non-pro bability sampling where some elements of the population will have no chance of selection. Moreover, the method used is purposive sampling where the researcher chooses the sample based on the judg ement and know ledge of the r esearcher in order to collect samples which meet certain criterias.

According to Bentler (2006), the number of sample needed for Structural Equation Model (SEM) is minimum five respondents for each indicator present. In this stud y, there are 22 indicators. Therefore, the minimum r equirement of samples needed in this stud y is 5 respondents x 22 indicators = 110 respondents. However, the r esearcher decided to us e 200 samples in order to obtain more consistent results.

Interval scale is used in this study since it has the same range and also homogenous with different value in each number present, making it relevant to the research definition. The type of scale utilized is itemized rating scale for all variables. For the dimensions of ret ail awareness, retailer association, retailer perceived quality, retailer loyalty and purchase intention, all scale items were measured by utilizing the seven-point numerical scales (1 = disagree and 5 = agree) in which the higher the score shows the better results. The pattern used in the research will be as follow :

Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Agree

The data processing model used for the analysis in this study is Structural Equation Model (SEM) b y using SPSS version 18.0 for W indows in order to examine the measurement model and then test the hypotheses. Before processing the data, the r esearcher initially requires to d o validity and r eliability tests. Validity test is done to re-check the questions in the questionnaires to make sure that it is able to be un derstood clearly by the respondent. Reliability test is conducted to determine the reliability of the questions in the questionnaire, whether the respondent has answered each questions consistently.

A confirmatory factor analysis is done in order to see whether the model is suitable for further stud y, followed b y the testing of the goodness fit index es which include The Root Mean Square of Approximation (RMSEA), Tucker Lewis Index (TLI), Goodness of Fit Index (GFI), Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and The Minimum Sample Discrepancy Function which split De gree of Freedom (CMIN/DF). Furthermore, it is r ecommended to use construct reliability and variance extract in order to me asure of the internal consistency of a construct indicator.

In SEM, to test the hypotheses on each parameter, it can be done b y observing the regression weights estimates of the Critical Ratio (C.R.) and the p-value column. If the C. R. value is equal to or g reater than 1.96, then it can be inferred that the CR value is significant, thus hypotheses is accepted. In contrast, however, when the C.R. value is lower than 1.96, it can be concluded that the CR values is not significant, thus the hypotheses is rejected. Moreover, if the p-value is less than 0.05, the hypotheses is accepted. In contrast, if the p-value is g reater or equal to 0.05, the hypotheses is rejected.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

	Table 1
Sample	Description

Smart Phone Brand	Samsung	
Condor	Male	124 (62%)
Gender	Female	76 (38%)
Age	Mean Age	33 years
Education	Undergraduate School	86 (43%)
Main Reason to Purchase Smart phone	For Communication	39 (19.50%)

Source : data processed by SPSS 18.0 for Windows

The socio-demographic profile of the sample and descriptive statistics of the constructs are represented in Table1 above. Table 1 shows that the respondents comprise of 124 males (62%) and 76 males (38%). The average age of the respondents 33 years old. Based on the education of respondents, it can be seen that dominated b y the customer with last education undergraduate school. Moreover, based on the main reason to purchase smart phone by the respondents, it can be seen that for communication purpose.

Table 2

	Mean	St. Dev
Social Needs		
SN1	3.765	1.002
SN2	3.590	0.962
SN3	3.650	1.016
SN4	3.555	0.975
Social Influence		
SI1	3.760	0.947
SI2	3.735	0.994
SI3	3.715	0.963
SI4	3.785	0.879
Convenience		
C1	3.700	1.041
C2	3.685	0.985
C3	3.785	1.031
C4	3.645	0.961
C5	3.765	0.997
C6	3.800	0.951
Dependence		
D1	3.540	0.923
D2	3.535	0.918
D3	3.630	0.903
D4	3.740	0.973
D5	3.560	0.866
Purchase Behavior		
PB1	3.490	0.951
PB2	3.430	0.974
PB3	3.445	0.975
PB4	3.440	0.916

Constructs and the items

Source : data processed by SPSS 18.0 for Windows

Table 2 above shows the mean scores and standard deviations for each construct and its indicators. The mean scores and standard deviations of social needs scale items range from 3.555 to 3.765 and from 0.962 to 1.016. The mean scores and standard deviations of social influence scale items range from 3.715 to 3.785 and from 0.879 to 0.994. The mean scores and standard deviations of

convenience scale items range from 3.645 to 3.800 and from 0.951 to 1.041. The mean scores and standard deviations of dependence scale items range from 3.535 to 3.740 and from 0.866 to 0.973. The mean scores and standard deviations of purchase behavior scale items range from 3.430 to 3.490 and from 0.916 to 0.975. The reliability statistics (Cronbach alphas) of the five constructs are 0.810, 0.883, 0.829, 0.839, and 0.8 39 respectively for social needs, so cial influence, convenience, dependence and purchase behavior.

In Figure 1 below, the standardize solution confirmatory analysis of this particular study can be acquired by processing the data obtained us ing the LISREL 8.80 software.



Source : data processed by LISREL 8.80

Figure 1

Standardize Solution Confirmatory Factor Analysis

The results of the measurement model with the resulting standardize solution Lisrel 8.80 indicates that there is no negative error variance for each indicator, so the measurement models qualified and researchers are able to continue testing the validity of observed variables. Reliability and validity of testing performed for any of the variables in the research by calculating the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and the Construct of Reliability. Reliability is used to measure the internal consistency of the indicators in a variable that serves to know every indicator can be used in a variable.

T 11	<u>^</u>	<u>^</u> 2		-	(=0)2	502	5	GD	T TE
Indicators	λ	λ-	ei	$\Sigma\lambda$	$(\Sigma\lambda)^2$	$\Sigma(\lambda^2)$	Σe _i	CR	VE
S	ocial N	eed							
SN1	0.72	0.52	0.48						
SN2	0.73	0.53	0.47	2.93	8.58	2.15	1.85	0.82	0.54
SN3	0.74	0.55	0.45						
SN4	0.74	0.55	0.45						
Soc	ial Influ	lence							
SI1	0.72	0.52	0.48						
SI2	0.72	0.52	0.48	2.92	8.53	2.13	1.87	0.82	0.53
SI3	0.74	0.55	0.45						
SI4	0.74	0.55	0.45						
Co	onvenie	nce						0.87	0.52
C1	0.72	0.52	0.48		18.75	3.13	2.87		
C2	0.74	0.55	0.45						
C3	0.71	0.50	0.50	4.33					
C4	0.70	0.49	0.51						
C5	0.72	0.52	0.48						
C6	0.74	0.55	0.45						
D	epende	ncy							
D1	0.71	0.50	0.50						
D2	0.71	0.50	0.50	2 (0	12.00	2.50	0.41	0.04	0.52
D3	0.72	0.52	0.48	3.60	12.96	2.59	2.41	0.84	0.52
D4	0.72	0.52	0.48						
D5	0.74	0.55	0.45						
Purchase Behavior									
PB1	0.74	0.55	0.45						
PB2	0.73	0.53	0.47	2.91	8.47	2.12	1.88	0.82	0.53
PB3	0.72	0.52	0.48						
PB4	0.72	0.52	0.48						
1				l	l	1	l	l	l

r	Fable 3	

Variance Extracted and Construct Reliability Result

Source: data processed by LISREL version 8.80

Based on table 3 above can be seen that good a value for each variable in the VE stud y was greater than 0.5. In addition the value of the CR for each variable in this stud y are greater than 0.7. This indicates that each variable in this study have fulfilled both the value of VE or CR.

Researchers using reliability construct to test any existing variable within the model of research. The size of the extr acted variance is used to find out the number of variants of the i ndicators extracted from the latent invalid constructs developed. Variance extracted with high value able to indicate that these

indicators can repr esent well against latent invalid constructs developed. The recommended value for variance extracted is more than or equal to 0.5.

Based on the result of the confirmatory factor analysis done using LISREL 8.80, a quick measurement fit is done to check whether the model is fit to be used in the study. Thus, the measurement fit obtained is presented in Table 4 below.

Table 4

No	Fitness Test	Term of Use	Result	Description
1	RMSEA	RMSEA $\leq 0,08$	0,038	GoodFit
2	GFI	$GFI \ge 0.90(GoodFit)$ $0.80 \le GFI \le 0.90(MarginalFit)$	0,89	MarginalFit
3	AGFI	$AGFI \ge 0.90 \text{ (GoodFit)}$ $0.80 \le AGFI \le 0.90 \text{ (MarginalFit)}$	0,86	Marginalfit
4	TLI/NNFI	TLI ≥ 0,90	0,98	GoodFit
5	CMIN/DF	$CMIN/DF \le 3$	1,402	GoodFit
6	CFI	$CFI \ge 0,90 \text{ (GoodFit)}$	0,99	GoodFit

Goodness of Fit

Source: data processed by LISREL version 8.8

The fitness tests being used in or der to mea sure the model fit include RMSEA, GFI, AGFI, TLI/NNFI, CMIN/DF and CFI.

According to the results above, all the measurements meet the required criteria, showing RMSEA, TLI/NNFI, CMIN/DF and CFI are in good fit, while only AGFI and GFI are in marginal fit.

The process of data processing by using SEM starts by doing research that will model specifications being estimated. Structural model of the specification by making the definition of causal relationships between v ariables of the study. Structural equation model structural suitability or test used to test the relationships between variables that previously hypothesized.

The results of the data processing using Lisrel 8.80 showing structural model in Figure 3 a variance error value is positive so it can be drawn the conclusion that structural models qualifies and can proc eed to the stage of examination the validity of the observable variables. The observed variables are declared invalid if it has a loading factor ≥ 0.50 .



Figure 2

Estimated Structural Model

Source: data processed by LISREL version 8.80





T-Value Structural Model

Source: data processed by LISREL version 8.80

In hypothesis testing, the testing done against structural equation coefficients by specifying the level of significance. In this study used $\alpha = 0.05$, so the critical ratio of structural equations must be ≥ 1.96 . Based on the results of the

processing of the output of the SEM has done the correlation coefficient value is obtained as follows.

Table	5
-------	---

Hypothesis Testing Result

Hypothesis	Relationship	Loading	t-value	Cutoff	Description
1	SN→D	0,20	2,52	1,96	Supported
2	SI→D	0,32	3,08	1,96	Supported
3	C→D	0,45	4,37	1,96	Supported
4	D→PB	0,01	0,15	1,96	Not Supported
G 1 .	11 170	DEL ' O	0.0		

Source: data processed by LISREL version 8.80

Based on Table 5, hypothesis testing results can be explained as follows:

- Social need has affects on customer dependence on *smart phone* in Surabaya of 0,20 with t-value of 2,52 (>t-tabel 1,96). This means social needs had significant influence against dependence
- Social influence has affects on cust omer dependence on *smart phone* in Surabaya of 0,32 with t-value of 3,08 (>t-tabel 1,96). This means social influence had significant influence against dependency
- Convenience has affects on customer d ependence on *smart phone* in Surabaya of 0,45 with t-value of 4,37 (>t-tabel 1,96). This means convenience had significant influence against dependence
- 4. Dependence has not af fects on cu stomer's purchase behavior on *smart phone* in Surabaya of 0,01 with t-value of 0,15 (<t-tabel 1,96). This means dependence had no significant influence against purchase behavior

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on the research result and st atistical tests c onducted, it can be concluded that from the main 5 (seven) hypotheses developed, 4 (five) of the hypotheses are proven, while the other one is rejected, in which in one of the rejected hypotheses. Nonetheless, these are the following explanations of each research result : 1) Social needs positively affects consumer dependence on smart phone in Surabaya. 2) Social influence positively affects consumer dependence on smart phone in Surabaya. 3) Convenience positively affects consumer dependence on smart phone in Su rabaya. 4) Dependence negatively affects consumer's purchase behavior on smart phone in Surabaya Based on this study, there are some recommendation that can be given for the smart phone manufacturer as well as for further research. **First** is for the smart phone manufacturer should produce smart phone with new features such as higher image resolution of the camera, better and faster operating system, smarter and lighter design, and any other new innovative of product features for both software and hardware. By better improve the Pr oduct Feature, and providin g what is demanded, it mig ht help Smartphone provider to impr ove sales and profit. **Second**, The company should design their smart phone in terms of the qu ality to ensure that the p roducts meet or exceed the requirements needed. Another strategy, by providing greater memory space, user friendly interface and high speed internet connection. **Third**, The company should educate its employee and authorize retailers in order to have more knowledge on the new smart phone product. For example, giving examiners, trainings, or workshops.

During the process, this study has several limitations, in which can be further improved for the future research. Several of the limitations include : 1) This research conducted not using specific brand product, which is smart phone. Another research can be conducted using specific brand or product as object, to observe the overall social needs, social influence, and convenience of smart phone in Surabaya. 2) Future research can be conducted using other categories product. This aims to know the difference between social needs, social influence and convenience between different product which might affect the dependence and purchase behavior of customers. 3) This research conducted only in Suraba ya. Future research can be conducted in other cities to observe how c ustomer perception might differ between places. 4) This research faced some difficulties in terms of obtaining the respondents. Future research can be us e non-purposive sampling as the data are already gathered by the company to ensure more accurate results.

REFERENCES

Ahn, J. and Jung, Y. (2016). The Common Sense of Dependence on Smartphone: A Comparison between Digital Natives and Digital Immigrants. *New Media & Society*, 18(7), 1236–1256.

- Alcaniz, B. E., Mafe, C. R., Manzano, J. A., and Blas, S. S. (2008). Influence of online shopping information dependency and innovativeness on internet shopping adoption. *Online Information Review*, 32(5), 648-667.
- Auter, P. J. (2007). Portable social groups: willingness to communicate, interpersonal communication gratications, and cell phone use among young adults. *International Journal Mobile Communications*,5(2), 139-56
- Blat, S. J. (1974). Levels of object re presentation in anac litic and introjective depression. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 24, 107-157.
- Brown, L.G. (1990). Convenience in Services Marketing', Journal of <u>Services</u> <u>Marketing</u> 4(1), 53-59
- Genova, G. L. (2010). The an ywhere office = anywhere liability. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 73, 119-126.
- Goldsmith, E., and Goldsmith, R. (2011). Social influence and sustainability in households. International journal of consumer studies, 35, 117 121.
- Hahn, J. (2010). Information seeking with wikipedia on ipod touch. *Reference Services Review*, 38 (2), 284-298.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., and Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Holub, S. F., Green, M. C., and Valenti, S. P. (2010). The smartphone: The tax practitioner's portable office. *Tax Adviser*, 41 (3), 206 208.
- J. Kim, S. Rasouli and H.Timmermans. (2014) Expanding scope of hybrid choice models allowing for mixture of social influences and latent attitudes: Application to intende d purchase of electric cars. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 69, 71-85
- Jung, Y. (2014). What a smartphone is to me: understanding user values in using smartphones. *Information Systems Journal*, 24 (4), 299-321.

- Keaveney, S., and Parthasarathy, M. (2001). Customer switching behavior in online services: an exploratory study of the role of selected attitudinal, behavioral, and demographic factors. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 29(4), 374-90.
- Kuhlmeier, D., and Knight, G. (2005). Antecedents to internet based purchasing: A multinational study. *International Marketing Review*, 22(4), 460-473.
- Leung, L. and R. Wei (2000) More than just Talk on the Move: A Use-and-Gratification Study of the Ce Ilular Phone, Journalism and Mass CommunicationQuarterly, 77(2): 308–20.
- Lippincott, J. K. (2010). A mobile fu ture for academic libraries. Reference Services Review, 38(2), 205 213.
- Loo, J.L. (2009), "Acceptance of health services on mobile phon es: a study of consumer perceptions", School of Information and Library Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC.
- Lorraine Paterson Boon Low, (2011), "Student attitudes towards mobile library services for smartphones", *Library Hi Tech*, 29(3), 412 423
- Lu, H., & Su, P. Y. (2009). Factors affecting purchase intention on mobile shopping web sites. *Internet Research*, 19(4), 442-458.
- Mafe, C. R., & Blas, S. S. (2006). E xplaining internet dep endency: An exploratory study of future purchase intention of spanish internet users. *Internet Research*, 16(4), 380-97.
- Mason, W.A., Conrey, F.D. and Smith, E.R. (2007). Situating Social Influence Processes: Dynamic, Multidirectional Flows of Influence Within SocialNetworks. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 11 (3), 279-300
- Newberry, C. R., Klemz, B. R., and Boshoff, C. (2003). Managerial implications of predicting purchase behavior f rom purchase intentions: a retail patronage case study. *The Journal of Services Marketing*, 17(6), 609-620

- Nisbett, R., and Ross, L. (1980) *Human Inference: Strategies and Shortcomings* of Social Judgement. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall
- Oulasvirta, A., Rattenb ury, T., Ma, L. and Raita, E. (2011). H abits make smartphone use more p ervasive. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 16(1), 105-114.
- Patwardhan, P., & Yang, J. (2003). Internet dependency relations and online consumer behavior: A media s ystem dependency theory perspective on why people shop, chat, and read n ews online. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 3(2), 57-69.
- Pearson, J. C., Carmon, A., Tobola, C., & Fowler, M. (2010). Moti ves for communication: Why the millennial generation uses electronic devices. *Journal of the Communication, Speech and Theatre Association of North Dakota*, 22, 45-55.
- Persaud, A., &Azhar, I. (2012). Innovative mobile marketing via smartphones: are consumers ready? *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 30(4), 3-36.
- Porter, E. Michael. (1994). *Competitive Advantage:* Creating and Sustaining Superior. New York: The Free Press.
- Putzer, G. J. & Park, Y. (2012). Are Physicians Likely to Adopt Emerging Mobile Technologies? Attitudes and Innovation Factors Affecting Smartphone Use in the Southeastern United States. *Perspectives in Health Information Management*, 2-22.
- Ran Wei & Ven Hwei L o. (2006). Staying Connected While on the Move: Cell Phone Use and Social Connectedness, 8(1): 53-72
- Rashotte, L. S. (2007). Social influence. In G. Ritzer& J. M. R yan(Eds.), *The* Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology, 4426–4428.
- Raskin, R. (2006). Facebook faces its future. Young Consumers, 4(1), 56-8.
- Schiffman, L.G., Kanuk, L.L. and Wisenbut, J.(2010). Consumer Behavior. New Jersey: Pearson Education. Inc.

- S. Kang, and J. Jung, (2014). Mobile comm unication for human needs: A comparison of smartphone use between the us and korea. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 35, 376-387.
- Solomon, M., Bamossy, G., Aske gaard, S., Hogg, M.K.(2010). C onsumer behaviour, A European perspective. Europe: Prentice Hall.
- Stang, D. &Wrightsman, L. (1981). Dictionary of Social Behaviour and Social Research Methods. Monterey, CA: Brooks Cole.
- Stephens, K. K., & Davis, J. (2009). The social influences on electronic multitasking in or ganizational meetings. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 1-21
- Subbaraman, Nidhi. "Smartphone users ch eck Facebook 14 times a day, study says." *NBC News* 28 March 2013. 02 June 2013. <<u>http://www.nbcnews.com/technology/smartphone-users-</u> <u>checkfacebook-14-times-day-study-says-1C9125315</u>>
- Surini, Ni Ketut. "KecanduanPonselPintarLebihParahdariPerkiraan." *Kompas,com* 27 Juli 2011. 02 Juni 2013. <<u>http://tekno.kompas.com/read/2011/07/27/2124265/Kecanduan.Ponsel.</u> Pintar.Lebih.Parah.dari.Perkiraan>
- Tikkanen, I. (2009). Maslow's hierarchy and pupils' suggestions for developing school meals.*Nutrition and Food Science*,39(5), 534-43.
- Ting, D. H., Lim, S. F., Patanmacia, T. S., Low, C. G., & Ker, G. C. (2011). Dependency onsmartphone and the impact on purchase behavior. *Young Consumers*, 12(3), 193-203.
- Warde, A. (1999) Convenience Food: Space and Timing', <u>British Food Journal</u>, 101(7), 518-527
- Wood, W., and Ha yes, T., (2012), Social I nfluence on consumer de cisions: Motives, modes, and co nsequences. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 22, 324–328

- Yale, L. &Venkatesh, A. (1986). Towa rd the Construct of Convenience in Consumer Research. Advances in Consumer Research. 13, 403-408.
- Yangil Park, J engchung V. Chen, (2007), "Acceptance and adoption of the innovativeuse of smartphone", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(9), 1349 – 1365
- Yee, K. L. L., Siew H. K., and Fah, B. C. Y. (2013). Factor Affecting Smartphone Purchase Decision Among Malaysian Generation Y. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 3(12), 2426-2440.
- Yuan, E. J. (2012). From "perpetual contact" to contextualized mobility: Mobile phones for social relations in chinese society. Journal of International and Intercultural Communication, 5(3), 208-225.

http://eimportanceoftechnology.com/, retrieved on November 5, 2016 http://www.pewglobal.org, retrieved on November 6, 2016 http://www.indonesia-investments.com, retrieved November 6, 2016 https://www.idc.com, retrieved November 6, 2016 http://ilmupengetahuanumum.com, retrieved on 5 November 2016 https://apjii.or.id, retrieved 1 February 2017